

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



**Cincinnati Bell  
Telephone**

201 E. Fourth Street  
P. O. Box 2301  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-2301  
Phone: (513) 397-1393  
Fax: (513) 241-9115

**David L. Meier**  
Director  
Regulatory Affairs

March 19, 1997

RECEIVED

MAR 19 1997

Mr. William F. Caton, Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222  
Washington, D. C. 20554

Received by Mr. Caton

In the Matter of: )  
) CC Docket No. 96-128  
Implementation of the Pay Telephone )  
Reclassification and Compensation )  
Provisions of the Telecommunications )  
Act of 1996 )

RE: EX PARTE LETTER

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is being sent to you in response to a request from Mr. Steve Funkhouser of the Competitive Pricing Division. In a March 14, 1997 telephone call, Mr. Funkhouser requested CBT to provide to the Commission a response to several questions. These questions, as well as the CBT responses are included as Attachment 1

Please be advised, CBT did not file any unbundled feature or function associated with Payphone Service nor was CBT required to do so. Paragraph 163 of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration in this proceeding requires LECs to file tariffs for the basic payphone services and unbundled functionalities to the extent that they provide these payphone services and unbundled features to their own payphone services. CBT does not provide any unbundled functionality for use of its own payphone services separate from the access line (smart or dumb). Moreover, no separate feature or function is being provided for any Independent Payphone Provider in an intrastate tariff.

CBT did file in its intrastate tariffs, however, a variety of smart and dumb access lines. Attachment 2 is the applicable page from CBT's proposed Ohio tariff for illustrative purposes. Any functionality utilized for its own payphone services is available to Independent Payphone

Noted by [unclear]  
List ABC [unclear]

providers through the use of one of these access lines. LECs were not required to file tariffs for the basic payphone line for smart and dumb payphones with the Commission.

Please include this letter in the record of this proceeding in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission Rules. In compliance with Commission Rules, two copies of this letter and attachments are being provided. In addition a duplicate original copy of this letter and attachments are also provided. Please date stamp this as acknowledgment of its receipt and return it.

Questions regarding this filing may be directed to me at the above address or by telephone on (513) 397-1393.

Sincerely,

c: Mr. Steve Funkhouser

FCC Questions recieved 3/14/97 From Steve Funkhouser

2A. Did CBT file every feature and function that LEC payphone operations are using (whether or not the payphone operations are in a separate affiliate)? If not, what state-tariffed features and functions are being used that are not in the interstate tariff? (these features and functions should include those that are "payphone related" and those that are not).

CBT Response: CBT did not file any unbundled feature or function as explained in the attached cover letter.

2B. Did CBT file every feature and function that an Independent Payphone Provider has available in a state tariff? If not, what state-tariffed features and functions are being used that are not in the interstate tariff? (these features and functions should include those that are "payphone related" and those that are not)

CBT Response: CBT did not file any unbundled feature or function as explained in the attached cover letter.

2C. Under 2A and 2B above, did CBT file both chargeable and non-chargeable functions? If not, what state tariff non-chargeable features and functions are being used that are not in the interstate tariffs? (these features and functions should include those that are "payphone related" and those that are not)

CBT Response: CBT did not file any unbundled feature or function as explained in the attached cover letter.

2D. Did CBT file both existing features and functions as well as new features and functions?

CBT Response: CBT did not file any unbundled feature or function as explained in the attached cover letter.

GENERAL EXCHANGE TARIFF  
PUCO No. 8

CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Section 16

4th Revised Page 21

Cancels 3rd Revised Page 21

PUBLIC AND SEMI-PUBLIC SERVICE

## B. RATES AND CHARGES (Cont'd)

## 2. Access Line Service for Customer-Provided Public Telephone Service

The customer subscribing to Access Line Service for Customer-Provided Public Service must pay the monthly charges and local message charges as shown below\*:

		Monthly Base Rate	USOC
a.	<u>COCOT Service for Smart Sets</u>		
(1)	Unrestricted, Two-Way Message Rate Service. (See Note 1)	\$58.00	1W7
(2)	Unrestricted, Two-Way Message Rate Service. (See Note 2)	31.30	1W3
(3)	Unrestricted, Two-Way Message Rate Inmate Service. (See Note 1)	58.00	129
(4)	Unrestricted, Two-Way Message Rate Inmate Service. (See Note 2)	31.30	128
b.	<u>COCOT Service For use with Dumb Sets</u>		
(1)	Restricted, Two-Way Message Rate Service. Allows 0+, 0-, 1+, 01+ and 011+ dialing. Blocks 1+900. (See Note 1)	61.00	190
(2)	Restricted, Two-Way Message Rate Service. Allows 0+, 0-, 1+, 01+ and 011+ dialing. Blocks 1+900. (See Note 2)	35.00	191
(3)	Restricted, One-Way Message Rate Service. Allows 0+, 0-, 1+, 01+ and 011+ dialing. Blocks 1+900. (See Note 1)	61.00	196
(4)	Restrict, One-Way Message Rate Service. Allows 0+, 0-, 1+, 01+ and 011+ dialing. Blocks 1+900. (See Note 2)	35.00	195
(5)	Restricted, One-Way Message Rate Inmate Service. Allows 0+ dialing only. Blocks 800 and 900. (See Note 1)	61.00	114
(6)	Restricted, One-Way Message Rate Inmate Service. Allows 0+ dialing only. Blocks 800 and 900. (See Note 1)	35.00	113
(7)	Restricted, One-Way (outgoing) Message Rate Service. Allows 0+ and 0- dialing only. (See Note 1)	61.00	151
(8)	Restricted, One-Way (outgoing) Message Rate Service. Allows 0+ and 0- dialing only. (See Note 2)	35.00	154

Note 1: Monthly rate allows for 650 local calls, each additional local call is \$.10.

Note 2: Monthly rate allows for 70 local calls, each additional local is \$.08.

Material appearing on this page previously appeared on Page 20 of this Section. Certain material formerly appearing on this page has been deleted, other material now appears on Page 22 of this section.

Issued: January 15, 1997

By B. J. Stonebraker, Senior Vice President  
Cincinnati, Ohio

Effective:

In accordance with Case No.  
96-1310-TP-COI Issued by the  
Public Utilities Commission  
of Ohio.